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1. Introduction
One of the most important parameter while manufacturing the concrete is its structural strength. The strength of concrete comes from its matrix, the bond between cement and aggregates. In a mix with same quality of cement but different properties of aggregates in terms of its shape, size, texture and geo chemistry may result in different strength of concrete. However, more is the strength desired lesser should be the water/cement ratio. Therefore, for high strength concrete the water/cement ratio is limited to less than 0.4 and the strength at the higher compressive strength at 28
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2. Material Used
The determination of the properties of the constituents of concrete is necessary to ensure that they do not contain and deleterious element which may affect the behaviour of the composite or they may not confirm to the specified requirement necessary to achieve a standard of performance. The sub-sections under this head give the details of the tests carried out and the specifications as mentioned in the IS code. 2.1 Cement
Ordinary Portland cement 43 grade has been used for the experimental investigation. The recommendations started in IS 4031:1999 have been strictly adhered to during the experimentation. The physical properties of the cement determined in the laboratory are as follows: Table 1 : Physical properties of cement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Observed Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Specific Gravity</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Soundness(Le-Chatelier)</td>
<td>10 Mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Setting (A) Initial (B)Final</td>
<td>35 Min 415min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Compressive Strength (28 Day)</td>
<td>41 N/Mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Normal Consistency</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Fine Aggregates
Locally available coarse sand lying in zone 2 with specific gravity of 2.6 was used as fine aggregate which conforms IS 383-1983. The results of sieve analysis and physical properties are tabulated.

2.3 Coarse Aggregate
The locally crushed quartzite aggregate of maximum nominal size of 10mm, 12.5mm and 16mm with specific gravity of 2.68 are used as coarse aggregate.

2.4 Mineral Admixtures
The commercially available micro silica of grade 920 U conforming to IS 15388:2003 and fly ash collected from thermal power plant was used.

2.5 Water
The water used for the study was free of acids, organic matter, suspended solids, alkalis and impurities which when present may have adverse effect on the strength of concrete.
Table 2: Sieve analysis of fine aggregate (FM= 2.65)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sieve</th>
<th>Weight Retained (Gm)</th>
<th>Percentage Weight Retained</th>
<th>Percentage Weight Passing</th>
<th>Cumulative Percentage Weight Retained</th>
<th>Cumulative Percentage Weight Passing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.75 Mm</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.36 Mm</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>95.50</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>95.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.18 Mm</td>
<td>320.00</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>84.00</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>79.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 Micron</td>
<td>660.00</td>
<td>33.00</td>
<td>67.00</td>
<td>53.50</td>
<td>46.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 Micron</td>
<td>700.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>88.50</td>
<td>11.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 Micron</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>98.50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pan</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>98.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Sieve analysis of fine aggregate (FM= 2.88)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sieve</th>
<th>Weight Retained (Gm)</th>
<th>Percentage Weight Retained</th>
<th>Percentage Weight Passing</th>
<th>Cumulative Percentage Weight Retained</th>
<th>Cumulative Percentage Weight Passing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.75 Mm</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>99.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>99.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.36 Mm</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>90.50</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>89.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.18 Mm</td>
<td>360.00</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>71.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 Micron</td>
<td>550.00</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>72.50</td>
<td>56.50</td>
<td>43.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 Micron</td>
<td>770.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>91.50</td>
<td>8.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 Micron</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>92.50</td>
<td>99.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pan</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>99.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Mix Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixes</th>
<th>Cement (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Coarse Aggregate (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Sand (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Silica Fume (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Fly Ash (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Water/Cement Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cube A</td>
<td>472.8</td>
<td>1061</td>
<td>588.5</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cube B</td>
<td>530.1</td>
<td>1061</td>
<td>588.5</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Compressive Strength at 28 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size Of Coarse Aggregate</th>
<th>Cube A Fine Aggregate (FM= 2.65)</th>
<th>Cube A Fine Aggregate (FM= 2.88)</th>
<th>Cube B Fine Aggregate (FM= 2.65)</th>
<th>Cube B Fine Aggregate (FM= 2.88)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 Mm</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>61.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5 Mm</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>60.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Mm</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>58.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 1: Compressive strength of Cube A for fine aggregate with FM 2.65 and 2.88

Fig 2: Compressive strength of Cube B for fine aggregate with FM 2.65 and 2.88
2.6 Super Plasticizer
Commercially available super plasticizer named CONSFLO HP was used.

2.7 Mix Design
The concrete of average design strength as 60 MPa was designed as per the guidelines specified in I.S. 10262-2009.

3. Experimental Results

3.1 Compressive Strength
The test was carried out conforming to IS 516:1959 to obtain compressive strength of desired grade of concrete and the results are shown in table 5. It was observed that in cube A concrete made with 10mm, 12.55 mm and 16 mm showed a increase of 2.49 %, 2.11% and 3.44 % in 28 day compressive strength when fine aggregate of 2.88 FM was used as compared to 2.65 FM. Whereas in cube B an increase of 2.50%, 2.36% and 2.97% was observed.

4. Conclusions
Based on the results of the study and test which have been discussed above, the following conclusions can be drawn:

i. Concrete made with 10mm maximum coarse aggregate size showed higher compressive strength that that made with 12.5mm and 16mm maximum coarse aggregate size for all the replacement of silica fume with or without fly ash.

ii. Concrete made with sand whose fineness modulus is 2.88, show higher compressive strength that made with sand whose fineness modulus is 2.65 for all the replacement of silica fume with or without fly ash.
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